



Pancreas-related complications following gastrectomy

Joyce Wong

Department of Surgery, Northwell Health, Lenox Hill Hospital, New York, NY 10128, USA

Correspondence to: Joyce Wong, MD, FACS. Assistant Professor of Surgery, Northwell Health, Lenox Hill Hospital, 1060 5th Avenue, Ste 1B, New York, NY 10128 USA. Email: joyce.wong02@gmail.com or jwong19@northwell.edu.

Comment on: Guerra F, Giuliani G, Iacobone M, *et al.* Pancreas-related complications following gastrectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis of open versus minimally invasive surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2017;31:4346-56.

Received: 29 April 2018; Accepted: 20 May 2018; Published: 22 May 2018.

doi: 10.21037/ls.2018.05.03

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ls.2018.05.03>

Although gastric cancer is relatively uncommon in the United States and Europe, it is a prevalent malignancy in Asia, particularly Japan and South Korea (1). Throughout surgery, minimally invasive techniques (MIS), including laparoscopic and robotic platforms, have gained popularity for their benefit in shorter post-operative patient recovery. While this is true in surgery for benign disease, minimally invasive surgery has not yet proven to be superior to open surgery for cancer. Increasing data from multiple centers, however, continues to show non-inferiority of the MIS approach.

Pancreatic complications, while uncommon following gastrectomy, can cause morbidity and, at times, necessitate further intervention. Guerra F, *et al* performed a meta-analysis of open versus minimally invasive gastrectomy for cancer and specifically reported on pancreatic complications (2). Briefly, the authors utilized the PubMed, Embase and Cochrane databases and ultimately included 20 studies, of which 6 were randomized trials of MIS *vs.* open gastrectomy and 14 were non-randomized trials. This incorporated 7,336 patients and looked at the development of acute pancreatitis or pancreatic fistula. The authors further delineated their inclusion of non-randomized trials, including only those who had matched patients across body mass index, lymphadenectomy, tumor location, size and stage between the open and MIS group. Overall, 14 studies were from Asia, 5 European and 1 from the United States. The study population included 3,583 (49%) who underwent open gastrectomy and 3,753 who underwent an MIS approach.

Pancreatic complications occurred in 1.07% of all patients undergoing gastrectomy, with pancreatic fistula occurring more frequently than acute pancreatitis. The

authors report that pancreatic complications occurred more frequently in the MIS group than open gastrectomy group (1.24% *vs.* 0.91%), although this was not statistically significant. In a subgroup analysis, acute pancreatitis occurred in 6 patients following open gastrectomy compared with 11 in the MIS group, $P=0.03$. There was no difference in development of pancreatic fistula between the MIS and open group.

While there was a statistically significant increase in incidence of acute pancreatitis following gastrectomy, depending on surgical approach, the absolute incidence of occurrence is quite low and must be interpreted with some caution. While acute pancreatitis can certainly precipitate a systemic inflammatory response and lead to organ failure, it is unclear whether this is the case following gastrectomy or if the pancreatitis is manifest mostly as a biochemical response. The occurrence rate is so low that there is no reporting on whether time to oral intake or hospital length of stay is longer in this group, or if other intervention is required.

Pancreatic fistula, however, can cause significant impact, sometimes necessitating percutaneous drainage or other intervention. Again, it is unclear based on this study if occurrence of pancreatic fistula correlates with lengthened hospital stay or increased incidence of further procedures. However, there is no difference in incidence of pancreatic fistula when comparing operative platform. Although the majority, 74%, of patients underwent D2 lymphadenectomy, it is also unclear if the more extended lymphadenectomy, compared to D1+, also led to increased incidence of these pancreatic complications.

What is unknown is whether these complications are related to a more meticulous lymph node dissection and if there are worse oncologic outcomes because of them. For example, does having a pancreatic fistula correlate with longer time to chemotherapy or more patients not receiving chemotherapy? Is survival worse in those with pancreatic complications? Fortunately, because pancreatic complications are so infrequent, it would take compiling multiple studies with a cohort of thousands of patients to be powered enough to determine this outcome.

Clinically, there are several grades that describe the significance of pancreatic leak or pancreatic fistula (3). Grade A is essentially a biochemical leak of no clinical significance, whereas Grade B requires leaving the surgical drain in place and potentially drain manipulation. Grade C leaks, however, are associated with single/multiple organ failure or require reoperation. From pancreatectomy outcomes associated with pancreatic cancer, grade C leaks are associated with worse survival; however grade A and B leaks do not adversely impact survival (4). It is not yet universal in the gastrectomy-for-cancer literature that this grading system of pancreatic fistula has been adopted, as it seems that groups utilize either the ISGPS definition *vs.* standard Clavien-Dindo description. With respect to the question of minimally invasive *vs.* open gastrectomy, a single center study from Japan found equivalent grade B pancreatic leaks following total gastrectomy, either open or laparoscopic (3.7% *vs.* 2.7%, respectively, $P=0.38$) (5). A broader, multicenter Japanese study evaluated 5,288 patients in 169 institutions, propensity score-matched 1,067 patients in the open and laparoscopic group, and found a higher association of grade B or higher pancreatic fistulas in the laparoscopic distal gastrectomy group compared to open (6). It is still unclear, however, if this impacts overall survival or return to adjuvant therapy.

In conclusion, it certainly behooves the surgeon and perioperative team to focus on the possibility of pancreatic complications following gastrectomy for cancer. Although the MIS approach may be associated with a higher incidence of pancreatic fistula or pancreatitis, it is unclear what clinical impact this has, and patients may still derive post-operative recovery benefit. Further study, with combined cohort data, is needed to truly determine the incidence of clinically significant post-operative pancreatic complications, the impact on survival of these complications, and whether this outweighs the benefit of a MIS platform.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Laparoscopic Surgery*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ls.2018.05.03>). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Available online: www.gco.iarc.fr/today/home
2. Guerra F, Giuliani G, Iacobone M, et al. Pancreas-related complications following gastrectomy: systematic review and meta-analysis of open versus minimally invasive surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2017;31:4346-56.
3. Bassi C, Marchegiani G, Dervenis C, et al. The 2016 update of the International Study Group (ISGPS) definition and grading of postoperative pancreatic fistula: 11 Years After. *Surgery* 2017;161:584-91.
4. Kawai M, Murakami Y, Motoi F, et al. Grade B pancreatic fistulas do not affect survival after pancreatectomy for pancreatic cancer: A multicenter observational study. *Surgery* 2016;160:293-305.
5. Etoh T, Honda M, Kumamaru H, et al. Morbidity and mortality from a propensity score-matched, prospective

- cohort study of laparoscopic versus open total gastrectomy for gastric cancer: data from a nationwide web-based database. *Surg Endosc* 2018;32:2766-73.
6. Hiki N, Honda M, Etoh T, et al. Higher incidence of

pancreatic fistula in laparoscopic gastrectomy. Real-world evidence from a nationwide prospective cohort study. *Gastric Cancer* 2018;21:162-70.

doi: 10.21037/ls.2018.05.03

Cite this article as: Wong J. Pancreas-related complications following gastrectomy. *Laparosc Surg* 2018;2:28.