Introduction

Living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) is one of main types of liver transplantation in the facts that there are not enough livers for all the potential patients with could benefit from a liver transplantation. LDLT has developed steeply over the past decades to mitigate deceased donor organ shortages and reduce mortality on waiting lists for liver transplantation mostly in countries with a scarcity of deceased donor liver graft.

Morbidity and mortality rates of living donor hepatectomy are significantly lower than hepatectomy for other disease (1). However, the safety of living liver donors is of paramount importance issue so far (2,3). In addition, the major drawbacks of living liver donation are that living donor hepatectomy is one of the major abdominal operations and occurs considerable adhesion and large operation scars in the upper abdomen. Permanent large incision scar gives young donors physical and mental stress. Surgical staffs should make the best effort to resolve the future quality of life, along with the safety of living liver donors. A minimal invasive approach to donor surgery has
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Background: Donor safety in living donor hepatectomy is the most important problem in living donor liver transplantation (LDLT). However, pure laparoscopic approach to donor hepatectomy has been gradually increased with experience of laparoscopic liver resection (LLR) and LDLT. Blood loss is one of the main causes that affect surgical outcomes in LLR and must be considered a major concern for the donor surgeon in LDLT.

Methods: We would like to describe a few center's experience with our clinical applications about how to use energy devices in pure laparoscopic donor hepatectomy (PLDH).

Results: Various energy devices used for liver dissection play an important role in reducing intra-operative blood loss during LLR. And, energy devices make it easier to dissect perihepatic ligaments and retrohepatic space for liver mobilization. The optimal combination and selection of energy devices will help to reduce operation time and intra-operative complications during PLDH. Especially, meticulous dissection by the proper use of energy devices is essential to preserve the major vascular branches along the resection plane and to minimize the bleeding risk, during parenchymal transection in PLDH.

Conclusions: The technology of energy devices should be continuously improved to accomplish the safe PLDH, and the surgical techniques associated with energy devices must be consistently validated.
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been developed as an alternative to solve these problems and is constantly evolving.

Laparoscopic hepatectomy has gained wide acceptance for various benign and malignant liver tumors (4). Laparoscopic hepatectomy has been carried out with minimal morbidity and mortality and with rapid recovery, the reduction of intra-operative blood loss and postoperative pain have been validated as the excellent benefits by various reviews and meta-analyses (5-8).

Blood loss is one of the main causes that affect surgical outcomes in laparoscopic liver resection (LLR). Bleeding must be a major concern for the donor surgeon in LDLT. This serious issue certainly induces the major intra-operative complications and can be one of the main causes of donor mortality and the major postoperative complications with bile leaks and hepatic failure (9-12). Hemostasis achievement during liver mobilization and parenchymal transection obviously have an effect on the successful completion of donor surgery. The main advances in reducing intra-operative bleeding has been achieved through the improvement of surgical techniques and the development in surgical instruments. Most bleeding can be encountered during transection of the liver parenchyma, which greatly affects operative time, intra-operative blood loss, blood transfusion, and postoperative morbidity and mortality (13). Introduction of various energy devices for laparoscopic surgery play an important role in reducing intra-operative blood loss during LLR.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ls-20-22).

Results

Clinical applications of energy device for PLDH

Liver mobilization

Liver mobilization is able to carry out through the dissection of ligament attachments between the liver, retroperitoneum, diaphragm, inferior vena cava (IVC), and right adrenal gland. The key feature of laparoscopic liver mobilization prevents unnecessary injuries to the IVC and hepatic veins. For safe liver mobilization, it is essential to achieve careful control of small hepatic veins with energy devices and/or clips (14).

Liver can be carefully mobilized by the optimal use of energy device. In the first step of liver mobilization, energy device is firstly used for the simple division of the ligamentum teres and falciform ligament. After dissection of these ligaments, suprahepatic IVC and hepatic veins are identified. And, we use energy devices very usefully for careful dissection of the bare area in retrohepatic space, especially for the safe separation between liver and right adrenal gland (Figure 1A). After completion of right lobe mobilization, it is important to dissect safely using an energy device and surgical clips to avoid the injury of retrohepatic IVC. Inferior right hepatic veins from retrohepatic IVC can be clearly exposed and securely ligated using an energy device and surgical clips (Figure 1B,C). Very small right inferior hepatic veins (≤1 mm) can be effectively controlled by energy devices.

Also, in left hepatectomy, energy devices make it possible to divide left triangular ligament and gastro-hepatic ligament easily and safely.

Liver parenchymal transection

In LLR, advances in techniques and surgical instruments for parenchymal transection have facilitated a reduction of blood loss that occurred during liver resection. Control of intra-operative bleeding has been one of the significant technical issues in laparoscopic liver surgery. Various devices for the liver parenchymal transection in both open and minimally invasive liver surgery has been introduced and a few studies have reported that ultrasonic energy devices have the effect of shortening operative time and reducing postoperative complications (15,16). On the other hand, another study reported that bipolar compression devices may offer advantages over ultrasonic devices in terms of shorter total operative time, along with liver parenchymal transection time (17). However, there was no convincing
data evidencing the superiority of any single technique, although there are at least 10 different techniques (18). There are two types of devices for transection: mainly used for dissection and cutting and primarily used for hemostasis and coagulation. By a few reports, most laparoscopic living donor surgeons seem to prefer a combination of two types of devices (19-24). Table 1 shows a summary of the different types of devices for parenchymal transection in laparoscopic living donor hepatectomy. Cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator (CUSA Excel, Integra, USA) was mostly widely mentioned device used to transect parenchyma for LLR, especially living donor hepatectomy (25). CUSA uses ultrasonic energy to divide parenchymal tissue and keep the operative field dry by aspiration. CUSA has better performance in reducing blood loss and allows meticulous parenchymal dissection with less chance of vessel or bile duct injury. Therefore, it helps to find a precise transection plane, without damage of normal hepatic tissue (26).

Ultrasonic devices cut and coagulate using the vibration of its blades. Theoretically, ultrasonic devices seal and cut the vessels simultaneously to allow for faster tissue division. The parenchyma is also divided with secure hemostasis when the blades move in a saw-like fashion. And, small vessels (≤3 mm) can be controlled quickly and safely by ultrasonic devices (26).

The choice of surgical technique and instruments depends entirely on the location and depth of liver transection as shown in Figure 2. The caudate lobe is easily transected using energy devices after liver mobilization.

**Table 1** Energy devices for parenchymal transection in PLDH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Main</th>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Pringle maneuver</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samstein et al.</td>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>Ligasure</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troisi et al.</td>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soubrane et al.</td>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>Harmonic scalpel</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al.</td>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suh et al.</td>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>Thunderbeat</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al.</td>
<td>CUSA</td>
<td>Thunderbeat</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLDH, pure laparoscopic donor hepatectomy; CUSA, cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator; NA, not applicable.
Figure 2A). Parenchymal transection in superficial layer is also performed using ultrasonic devices because the superficial layer from liver surface does not have any significant structures (Figure 2B), but in transection of deeper parenchyma, hepatic dissection device such as CUSA is more useful (27). The surface of the hepatic parenchyma up to 2 cm can be easily transected without bleeding by a Sonicision (Medtronic, Dublin, Ireland), Harmonic scalpel (Ethicon Endosurgery, Cincinnati, OH, USA) or Thunderbeat (Olympus Medical Systems Corp, Tokyo, Japan). For deeper parenchymal transection, we also used a CUSA to safely dissect intra-hepatic structures such as major hepatic veins and the branches of hepatic vein (V5 and V8) or biliary structures (Figure 2C), as with other reports (28-30). If no important structures are identified even during the parenchymal transection of deeper layer, ultrasonic device can be used to quickly transect the parenchyma, as shown in the video (Video 1). In the final stage of PLDH, including the transection of hepatic artery, portal vein and hepatic veins, energy devices allow faster dissection of remaining tissue for the rapid extraction of liver graft (Figure 2D).

Discussion

Laparoscopic donor hepatectomy requires an extremely careful and meticulous technique because small mistakes in the technique may jeopardize the donor safety. Hence, in adult-to-adult LDLT, laparoscopic donor hepatectomy was classified as IDEAL 2a, corresponding to the earliest phase of development, with the highest degree of risk because of the novelty of the procedure (31). However, the experts performing PLDH suggest that pure laparoscopic approach for donor hepatectomy will become the standard technique with the ongoing development of laparoscopic instruments and the accumulation of experience in LLR.

Many devices are now introduced for transection of the liver parenchyma in both open and laparoscopic surgery including: CUSA, Harmonic Scalpel, Thunderbeat, Sonicision, water-jet dissection, radiofrequency, microwave assisted resection, and so on. Of them, CUSA has been the most frequently used instrument for parenchymal transection during PLDH and one additional energy device is combined to reduce operation time and minimize the postoperative complications. The optimal combination and selection of energy devices depends on surgeon’s preference.
and familiarity.

Rhu et al. (32) reported that they used sometimes the ultrasonic shear dissector (Sonicision) alone without the CUSA to complete the dissection. This is also a surgeon’s preference and it would have been implemented based on a wealth of experience. If there are usually no major vessels found at the parenchyma up to 1–2 cm from the surface, this application of the ultrasonic shear device can be done to quickly divide the liver. But blind dissection requires great care. During parenchymal transection, meticulous dissection to preserve the major vascular branches along the resection plane and to minimize the bleeding risk, is essential for excellent results of donor surgery.

**Conclusions**

Donor safety is paramount in LDLT. Adopting the standardized techniques and accumulating experiences for use of energy devices allow meticulous dissection in liver resection. Therefore, precise identification of vascular or biliary structures, and elective hemostasis is the cornerstones and the basic skills of PLDH. For continued safe proliferation of PLDH, it is meaningful for the surgeons to clearly understand the advantages and limitations of energy devices. And, energy devices should be used in combination, depending on their functions and the depth of liver parenchyma. Finally, the technology of energy devices should be continuously improved to accomplish the safe PLDH, and the surgical techniques associated with energy devices must be consistently standardized and validated.
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