Intraoperative management of spermatic cord lipomas: a systematic review
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Abstract: Spermatic cord lipomas are a common incidental finding during surgical treatment for inguinal hernias. They appear to arise from the retroperitoneal tissue and bulge through the internal ring of the inguinal canal and can be clinically indistinguishable from hernias. The importance of spermatic cord lipomas is poorly understood; therefore, we aimed to determine whether they should be excised when found during groin hernia surgery and if a mesh should be inserted. This systematic review was reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). Data sources were literature searches in PubMed, Embase, and The Cochrane Library. We included original studies with patients undergoing groin hernia surgery reporting findings of cord lipomas. Two researchers assessed eligibility and risk of bias independently. Eight of 426 screened studies were eligible for inclusion. The total cohort consisted of 4,140 patients. Of these, 469 lipomas and 4,618 hernias were found during either open or laparoscopic repair. Among the 4,140 patients, cord lipomas had an occurrence of 17%, while 2.3% had a cord lipoma with no associated hernia sac. Three studies reported 66 symptomatic patients where the only pathological finding was a cord lipoma, and after removal, 63 patients (95%) had resolution of symptoms. In conclusion, cord lipomas can be the source of symptoms that clinically resemble groin hernias and removal of the lipoma seems to alleviate symptoms in most patients. Therefore, the surgeon should always consider removing cord lipomas when they are encountered perioperatively. Whether to insert a mesh when no hernial sac is encountered is still unclear.
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Introduction

Groin pain is a common symptom in surgical practice (1). The symptoms often originate from a groin hernia such as a femoral or inguinal hernia, which in most cases can be diagnosed during physical examination. There might be a visible bulge in the groin area, or it can be provoked by e.g., the Valsalva manoeuvre. However, surgical exploration can reveal no hernia or unexpected findings such as inguinal lipomas (2,3). Special attention should be given to these lipomas since, if not removed, they can be the cause of repeated operations under suspicion of a recurrent hernia (4-6). Even if there is no hernia at surgical exploration, it has been hypothesized that the cord lipoma could provoke the development of a hernia by enlarging the deep ring and proximal portion of the inguinal canal (7-9).

The terms “sliding inguinal lipomas” or “spermatic cord lipomas” are being used widely and are often incorrect terms. In fact, these “lipomas” are rarely true lipomas as...
a true lipoma is a benign encapsulated tumour and quite a rare finding in the inguinal canal (10,11). Spermatic cord lipomas appear to originate from retroperitoneal fat, that extrudes through the internal inguinal ring and are encountered intraoperatively in 3–75% of the patients (6,8-10,12,13). Their etiology is not yet fully understood. One explanation could be that the lipoma develops from remnant gubernacular fat, which is retroperitoneal mesenchymal fat that guides the foetal testicular descent (14-16). To our knowledge, there is little research on how cord lipomas should be managed intraoperatively.

This systematic review aimed to investigate whether lipomas of the spermatic cord should be excised when found during groin hernia surgery and if a mesh should be inserted subsequently. We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ls-20-56).

Methods

This systematic review was reported using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) (17). The protocol was registered in PROSPERO (International prospective register of systematic reviews) with the registration number CRD42020162862. Eligible studies included original studies in English, Swedish, Norwegian, Danish, Spanish, and Turkish with a minimum patient cohort of n ≥5. Studies were required to include a description of inguinal lipomas as a possible operative finding in men and/or women. There was no age restriction. PubMed (1966–present), Embase (1947–present), and Cochrane Library (1996–present) were searched on November 25, 2019 with help from a research librarian. Additional relevant articles were sought using the snowball search method (18).

The following search terms were used for PubMed (and afterwards adapted to Embase and the Cochrane Library): (surgical procedures OR operations OR operation OR surgery OR surgical OR treatment OR management OR diagnosis OR therapy) AND (Lipoma [Mesh] OR lipoma OR lipomata OR sliding lipoma OR cord lipoma OR fatty tumour OR fatty tumours OR adipose tissue) AND (inguinal canal [Mesh] OR Spermatic cord OR Inguinal cord OR inguinal cords OR spermatic cords OR funicular OR inguinal rings OR inguinal ring OR inguinal canal OR inguinal canals OR round ligament).

The records from each database were gathered in an Excel spreadsheet where duplicates with the same title and abstract were removed. Eligibility screening was conducted by two reviewers independently, first by title and abstract and subsequently in full-text format. Discrepancies were resolved by consensus among the authors. All eligible studies were searched in the Retraction Watch Database on February 20, 2020, to ensure that none of them had been retracted (19).

The following data were extracted by double data entry by the first author into a spreadsheet in Excel from each eligible study: author name, year of publication, study design, number of participants, age range, sex of participants, type of operation, number of operations, number of removed lipomas, number of operated “real” hernias, stated factors associated with presence of a cord lipoma, number of patients with symptomatic and asymptomatic lipoma, number of patients with symptomatic relief after removed lipoma, results of histopathologic examination, follow-up method, follow-up period, and conclusion.

The risk of bias for observational studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) by two researchers independently (20). The NOS risk of bias tool is designed to assess non-randomized studies by awarding stars. It is possible to award a total of nine stars distributed on eight items within three main groups: selection, comparability, and outcome. Since the included studies of this review did not have any control groups, two of the eight items were left out (“selection of non-exposed cohort” and “comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis”), and therefore it was possible to give maximum six stars in total. An appropriate follow-up period was set to a minimum of 30 days, as well as an estimate of 10% for dropout where bias would be less likely to occur.

The primary outcome measures were intraoperative handling of the spermatic cord lipomas, i.e., removal or leave in place and whether to insert a mesh or not. Additional outcomes were the occurrence of spermatic cord lipomas, the occurrence of pain relief after surgical removal of spermatic cord lipomas, and the correlation between body mass index (BMI) and spermatic cord lipomas. It was decided in the author group that a meta-analysis was unfeasible since there was high heterogeneity amongst the studies.

Results

In total, 8 studies were included in the review. The study selection process is depicted in Figure 1. Our database
search yielded 460 studies. One additional study was retrieved through a snowball search (21). After removal of duplicates, 426 studies were assessed according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and 8 studies were included. After a thorough search in the Retraction Watch Database, none of the included studies were found to be retracted.

Of the eight included studies, five were prospective cohort studies (8,12,21-23) and three were retrospective cohort studies (7,9,24). There were no randomized controlled trials. The accumulated patient cohort from the eight studies consisted of 4,140 patients, who were selected for operation under the suspicion of having a groin hernia. Of these, 87% were male and 13% were female with age ranging from 6–93 years. Summary of study characteristics is shown in Table 1. The total number of operated groins were higher than the patient cohort, since some patients were operated for bilateral groin hernias. In total, 4,752 groins were operated. The type of operation was either open or laparoscopic repair.

The risk of bias assessment in the included studies is presented in Table 2. The lowest ranking study received one star (22). Four studies received two stars (7,8,12,24), two studies received three stars (9,23), and one study received four stars (21). None of the studies received stars in the categories “ascertainment of exposure” or “assessment of outcome”, as they did not present from where they obtained their data on patients. Only two studies obtained stars in the category “adequacy of follow up of cohorts” (21,23), due to a lack of reporting in the rest of the studies.

The cord lipomas were defined as “fatty tissue lying in the inguinal canal, which is separable from the surrounding cord structures and distinct from the fatty tissue accompanying the testicular vessels” (8,12). Five studies described the lipomas as originating from retroperitoneal fat that herniates into the inguinal canal (7-9,23,24). Two studies did not give any definition of cord lipomas (21,22), while two studies vaguely described what cord lipomas are but without a clear definition (7,24).

Surgical removal of cord lipoma

Table 3 shows whether the lipomas were found during open or laparoscopic exploration. The operation technique
was described in all studies performing laparoscopic operations. Four performed transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) repair (7,9,21,22) and one study performed total extraperitoneal (TEP) repair (23). In three of the studies performing TAPP repair, they described that the cord lipomas were reduced and resected (9,21,22). In another study, the cord lipomas were dissected free of the cord but left in the preperitoneal space (7). In four studies using laparoscopy (7,9,22,23) a mesh was inserted, also when a cord lipoma was the only finding, i.e., no hernia. The fifth study using laparoscopy also inserted mesh at operations, but it is unclear whether it was also inserted when a lipoma was the only finding (21). Open repair was performed in five studies (7-9,12,24). One of them described that surgery was performed in a “Lichtenstein fashion” (9). Cord lipomas were resected with or without peritoneal sac in two studies performing open operation (8,12), while one study only resected cord lipomas that had no relation to the peritoneal sac and with a minimum size of $1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$ (24). The rest of the studies did not report a definitive size of the fat in the inguinal canal for it to be recognized as a cord lipoma. A detailed operation technique was otherwise not described in the studies performing open operations.

**Occurrence of cord lipomas**

Table 3 compares the total amount of operated patients in each study with the number of hernias found at exploration, and the number of cord lipomas found. Furthermore, it shows the male:female ratio of cord lipomas. In total, 469 lipomas were found in the accumulated patient cohort. When calculating the occurrence of cord lipomas, one study was not included (21). This study defined all protrusions in the inguinal region as “hernias”. The study reported 46
Table 3: Comparison of cord lipoma occurrence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Number of patients, (male:female)</th>
<th>Number of performed operations, n</th>
<th>Number of hernias, n</th>
<th>Number of cord lipomas, (male:female)</th>
<th>Overall cord lipoma occurrence, %</th>
<th>Pure cord lipoma occurrence, %</th>
<th>Type of operation, (removed cord lipomas, n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hatipoğlu et al. (24)</td>
<td>308 (286:22)</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>63 (60:3)</td>
<td>19.3*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Open repair* (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yener et al. (8)</td>
<td>969 (747:222)</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>967</td>
<td>22 (19:3)</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>Open repair* (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollinsky et al. (21)</td>
<td>1795 (1567:228)</td>
<td>2190</td>
<td>2054</td>
<td>46 (NR)</td>
<td>NRb</td>
<td>2.1*</td>
<td>TAPP (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al. (23)</td>
<td>498 (482:16)</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>143 (130:2c)</td>
<td>23.4*</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>TEP (143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasr et al. (7)</td>
<td>111 (109:2)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>26 (26:0)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Open repair*/TAPP (5/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carilli et al. (12)</td>
<td>128 (121:7)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>100 (NR)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Open repair* (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lilly et al. (9)</td>
<td>217 (192:25)</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>63 (12:6d)</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>6.4*</td>
<td>Lichtenstein/TAPP (24/39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gersin et al. (22)</td>
<td>114 (114:0)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>6 (6:0)</td>
<td>5.3*</td>
<td>5.3*</td>
<td>TAPP (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cord lipoma definition: “fatty tissue lying in the inguinal canal, which is separable from the surrounding cord structures and distinct from the fatty tissue accompanying the testicular vessels” (8,12). *Calculated by dividing number of found lipomas with total number of repairs stated in the studies. "The operative procedure for open repair was not stated. "It is not reported whether part of the found hernias had associated lipomas. "Unilateral cord lipoma n=121, bilateral cord lipoma n=11. "The number 12:6 represent men and women with cord lipomas, who had no associated hernia defect. NR, not reported; TEP, totally extraperitoneal inguinal hernioplasty; TAPP, transabdominal preperitoneal laparoscopic hernia repair.

cord lipomas without a peritoneal defect, but it was not stated if there were any lipomas in relation to the 2,054 hernias found during surgery. Therefore, the number of lipomas and number of repairs of this study were not included in the calculation of occurrence. Thus, 423 lipomas in 2,562 groins were equivalent to 17% of operated groins. Of the 423 lipomas, 61 lipomas had no connection to a hernia sac, yielding a 2.4% occurrence for “pure” cord lipomas. Six studies included patients who were suspected of having hernias (7,9,21-24). The remaining two studies only included patients diagnosed with indirect inguinal hernias, but at operation several patients were found to have pantaloon or direct hernias besides their lipomas (8,12).

Table 4 gives an overview of the number of lipomas found with and without a detected hernia sac. Five studies identified cord lipomas in patients who had a hernia defect (7,9,12,23,24), and six studies identified lipomas without a detected hernia sac (7-9,21-23).

Pain resolution

Cord lipomas were removed both when they were found related to a hernia sac and without a hernia sac. In four studies (8,9,21,22), a total of 80 patients were symptomatic and had no hernia sac in relation to the lipoma, see Table 4. Description of pain resolution for 14/80 patients were not reported in one study (8). Of the remaining 66 patients, 63 (95%) had full symptomatic resolution postoperatively. Two patients had improvement and one patient continued to have groin pain (9). In two studies, 12 asymptomatic patients had no detected hernia sac, but on physical examination, they had a palpable bulge, which turned out to be cord lipomas (8,9).

Risk factors associated with presence of cord lipomas

Three studies investigated the correlation between the development of cord lipomas and BMI, body weight, and the size of the hernia defect (12,23,24). The average BMI in patients with lipomas for each of the three studies were 25.7, 23.8, and 26.7 compared with that of the patients with no detected lipomas being 24.6, 22.7, and 25.8, respectively (12,23,24). In two of the studies, there were significant correlations between presence of lipoma and higher BMI, P=0.023 and P=0.048 (12,23). One study found no significant correlation between BMI and presence of cord lipomas, P >0.05 (24). The incidence of cord lipoma compared with the size of the defect in all three studies showed that a larger defect was significantly associated with the risk of having a cord lipoma, P<0.05, P=0.038, and P<0.005 (12,23,24). Two of the studies compared the incidence of cord lipoma with Type II and IIIb hernias of the Nyhus classification (12,24). One study investigated if comorbidities, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic smoking etc. were
implicated in the development of cord lipomas, but none of them were predictive of cord lipomas (23).

Discussion

This study indicates that spermatic cord lipomas are a relatively frequent finding in patients receiving surgical treatment for inguinal hernias. The cord lipomas were found in relation to hernia sacs or as an incidental finding with no relation to a hernia sac. Despite the latter occurring at a lower frequency, cord lipomas with no hernia sac are an important preoperative differential diagnosis to inguinal hernias. The included studies found that most patients, where the only pathologic finding was cord lipomas, had symptomatic relief after surgical removal/reduction of the cord lipoma. This advocates that lipomas found during groin hernia surgery should be resected as it is not known with certainty if the preoperative symptoms arise from a hernia or a lipoma. Although many studies inserted a mesh after removal of a cord lipoma, the literature does not allow firm conclusions whether a mesh should be inserted or not. This study had several strengths. To our knowledge, this is the first review that investigates whether spermatic cord lipomas should be resected when found during surgery. The search strategy was developed with help from a research librarian, and a total of three databases were searched. Furthermore, our study had limited language bias, as two authors with a collective comprehension of five languages screened title, abstract, and full text independently. One limitation to this study was the few published studies on the subject, and that there have not been made any randomized clinical trials. Risk of bias within the included studies showed high risk (median two stars out of six). Mainly because it was decided mutually not to assign any stars in the two categories: “Ascertainment of exposure” and “Assessment of outcome”. The included studies had no description of these two categories. All cord lipomas that were found within the eight included studies were excised or reduced. Unfortunately, only three of the studies reported on the patients’ symptoms pre- and postoperatively, after their cord lipomas were removed. Furthermore, five studies did not describe follow-up method or period (7,8,12,22,24). Finally, from the available studies we were not able to determine whether a mesh should be inserted if the only intraoperative finding was a lipoma (no hernia present).

In this systematic review, we found that spermatic cord lipomas can be the cause of clinical symptoms that resemble symptoms of a hernia. Removal of lipomas seems to relieve symptoms in the vast majority of patients. Whether mesh should be used for cord lipomas is not yet known and a randomized trial on this subject is needed. Based on our findings, it is reasonable to conclude that all lipomas found during groin hernia operations should be excised or reduced to the extra-peritoneal space.
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